

From: [Ron Gouquet](#)
To: [PETERSON Jenn L](#)
Cc: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Jeremy Buck@fws.gov](#); [Robert.Neely@noaa.gov](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chris Thompson](#)
Subject: Re: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue analysis proposal
Date: 11/20/2006 02:41 PM

FIne by me (NOAA)...

PETERSON Jenn L wrote:
> Sorry for the additional e-mail, but I had one quick thought. If we add
> the 11-11.7 sample to the Goldendale Aluminum sample (RM 10.0) we would
> have a total of 20.5 grams, which is enough to comfortably add PAH
> analysis to the sample (10 grams PCB congeners, pesticides,
> dioxin/furans and lipid, 3 grams other metals and % moisture, and 5
> grams PAH analysis = total of 28 grams with some left over to spare).
>

> What do you think?
>

> -Jennifer

> -----Original Message-----

> From: PETERSON Jenn L
> Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 2:16 PM
> To: 'Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov'; Ron Gouquet;
> Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; 'Jeremy_Buck@fws.gov';
> 'Robert.Neely@noaa.gov'; 'Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov'; 'Chris
> Thompson'
> Subject: RE: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue analysis proposal
>

> Hi All,

> Here is a more detailed spreadsheet of the lamprey tissue collection
> proposal that matches the one outlined by Eric - it just includes the
> grams available and required for each analysis. Does someone want to
> add the macrothalmia proposal to it?

> Please feel free to comment or change if this does not outline the
> specifics of what was decided.

> -Jennifer

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
> [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
> Sent: Friday, November 17, 2006 2:03 PM
> To: Ron Gouquet
> Cc: ANDERSON Jim M; aron.borok@EILTD.net; audiehuber@ctuir.com;
> BBarquin@hk-law.com; Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov;
> Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; chris.thompson@EILTD.net;
> cinde.donoghue@ilt.net; csmith@parametrix.com;
> Black.Curt@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov;
> erin.madden@gmail.com; GAINER Tom; Grepo-Grove.Gina@epamail.epa.gov;
> howp@critfc.org; Jay.Field@noaa.gov; jeff.baker@grandronde.org;
> jennifer.arthur@EILTD.net; jeremy_buck@fws.gov;
> Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov; TOEPEL Kathryn;
> Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; Lisa.Estensen@grandronde.org;
> Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov; Ader.Mark@epamail.epa.gov; MCCLINCY Matt;
> parker.wittman@ilt.net; PETERSON Jenn L; POULSEN Mike;
> Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov; rgensem@parametrix.com;
> Robert.Neely@noaa.gov; rose@yakama.com; Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov;
> tomd@ctsi.nsn.us
> Subject: Re: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue analysis proposal
>

> Yes - PCBs, pesticides and dioxin and furans

>

> Ron Gouquet
> <Ron.Gouquet@noa
> a.gov>

> 11/17/2006 01:56
> PM

To
Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
cc
Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Curt Black/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Dana
Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, GAINER
Tom <GAINER.Tom@deq.state.or.us>,
Gina
Grepo-Grove/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
jeff.baker@grandronde.org,
Jennifer L Peterson
<PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>,
jeremy_buck@fws.gov,
anderson.jim@deq.state.or.us, Joe
Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Judy
Smith/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Kathryn.Toepel@state.or.us,
Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
Lisa.Estensen@grandronde.org,
MCCLINCY Matt
<MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>,
howp@critfc.org, POULSEN Mike
<POULSEN.Mike@deq.state.or.us>,

> Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
> Robert.Neely@noaa.gov, Sean
> Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
> tom@ctsi.nsn.us,
> parker.wittman@eilt.net,
> csmith@parametrix.com,
> rgensem@parametrix.com,
> rose@yakama.com,
> erin.madden@gmail.com,
> cinde.donoghue@eilt.net,
> Jay.Field@noaa.gov,
> jennifer.arthur@EILTD.net,
> chris.thompson@EILTD.net,
> aron.boroke@EILTD.net, Lori
> Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark
> Ader/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
> BBarquin@hk-law.com,
> audiehuber@ctuir.com
> Subject
> Re: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue
> analysis proposal
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Is PCB = PCBs and Pesticides (i.e., DDX)?
>
> Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:
>
>> Attached is the proposal from the LWG regarding the lamprey tissue
>> analysis. Members of the eco team developed an independent proposal
>>
> for
>
>> lamprey analysis. I have summarized what I think our team's proposal is
>> below.
>>
>>
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | Sample | Analysis
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | LW3-LT002 (RM 2.5 southbank) | PCBs, lipids
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | LW3-LT001, LW3-LT006, LW3-LT009, | PCBs, lipids, metals, PAH
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | LW3-LT018, LW3-LT020 (ISA) |
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | LW3-LT021 (RM 10.0 Goldendale | PCBs, lipids, metals
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | Aluminum) |
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | LW3-LT023b (RM 16.5-17 Sellwood | PCBs, lipids, metals, PAH
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | Bridge) |
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> | LW3-LT023c (RM 18.7 Elk Rock) | PCBs, lipids, metals, PAH
>>
> |-----+-----|
>
>> In addition, macrothalmia collected from the study area would be
>> composited into a single sample.
>>
>> According to the LWG proposal, a replicate analysis would be run on
>>

> the
>
>> Elk Rock Island sample and an upstream macrothalmia composite will
>>
> also
>
>> be analyzed. I support these aspects of the LWG proposal. The issue
>> of course is how to divide up the lamprey collected from the study
>>
> area.
>
>> The government team proposal has the advantage of taking lamprey from
>> the more contaminated areas. The LWG proposal has the advantage of
>> giving us an upper study area and lower study area sample.
>>
>> Please look over the LWG proposal. I would like to discuss this at
>> Wednesday's TCT to finalize our approach.
>>
>> Thanks, Eric
>> ----- Forwarded by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US on 11/17/2006 10:16 AM
>> -----
>>
>>
>
>> Valerie Oster
>>
>
>
>> <voster@anchoren
>>
>
>
>> v.com>
>>
> To
>
>> Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
>>
>
>
>> 11/17/2006 09:53 Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>>
>
>
>> AM
>>
> cc
>
>> kpine@integral-corp.com, Helle
>
>
>> Andersen
>>
>
>
>> <helleb@windwardenv.com>,
>
>
>> Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com,
>>
>
>
>> RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US,
>>
>
>
>> "Wyatt, Robert"
>>
>
>
>> <rjw@wnnatural.com>,
>
>
>> ANDERSON.Jim@deq.state.or.us,
>>
>
>
>> rick.j.kepler@state.or.us,
>
>
>> preston_sleeger@ios.doi.gov,
>>
> Dana
>
>> Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
>>
>
>
>> robert.neely@noaa.gov,
>
>
>> ted_buerger@fws.gov,
>>
>
>

>> Ron.gouguet@noaa.gov,
>
>
>> howp@critfc.org,
>
>
>> jeff.baker@grandronde.org,
>
>
>> lisa.bluelake@grandronde.org,
>
>
>> valerie.lee@eilt.net,
>
>
>> erin.madden@gmail.com,
>
>
>> wbarquin@hk-law.com,
>
>
>> tomd@ctsi.nsn.us,
>
>
>> audiehuber@ctuir.com,
>
>
>> cunninghame@gorge.net,
>
>
>> rose@yakama.com, Rene
>
>
>> Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Joe
>
>
>> Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
>
>
>> Kristine
>
>> Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,
>
>
>> jeremy_buck@fws.gov
>
>
>> Subject
>
>> LWG lamprey tissue analysis
>
>
>> proposal
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>> Chip, Eric,
>>
>> As mentioned during last week's Portland Harbor Managers meeting, here
>> is the LWG proposal on lamprey tissue analysis.
>>
>> thanks
>> Valerie
>>
>> Valerie Thompson Oster
>> Anchor Environmental, L.L.C
>> 6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 110
>> Portland, OR 97224
>> Phone: 503-670-1108 x19
>> Cell: 503-577-0254
>> Fax: 503-670-1128
>>

>> This electronic message transmission contains information that is a
>> confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation
>>
> of
>
>> litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the
>> individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended
>> recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution
>>
> or
>
>> use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have
>> received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by
>> electronic mail at voster@anchorenv.com.(See attached file: 2006-11-14
>>
>
>
>> LWG proposal on lamprey tissue analysis.pdf)
>>
>
>